

1. Survey process and composition of sample

1.1. Survey process

Institutional and expert survey was the first in the series of questionnaires, so we encountered many problems along the way. We have received only 13 answers via first wave (that is, via German site). We have received some complaints regarding the questionnaire (it was not showing up, the codes were not working etc.), some regarding the method of collecting the data (not trusting sites, which are not Slovenian etc.). Three respondents contacted us with request of paper version of the questionnaire.

We have tried to reach the sample of 100 respondents, this is why we sent the questionnaires three more times; first time we sent emails from the official account of the project (daphne@fvv.uni-mb.si), and received only one response from 430 emails sent. Two weeks after, at the end of September, we tried again with targeted emails (institution in the subject of the email, personalized emails, our account used was eva.bertok@...). We sent 310 emails and received 49 back, which made up the total sum of 65 respondents. In the third »wave«, from October to March, we have tried to get the response from police officers, unfortunately without luck.

1.2 Response rate

All in all, we received 65 questionnaires, 13 from online questionnaire, 3 paper versions, sent by ordinary mail, 49 via email.

All respondents were contacted through email, in total 640 addresses were collected.

1.3 Sample composition

Of 65 respondents, 72.3% (or 47 persons) of them were women. After we recoded the age groups (1 –age from 19 to 29; 2- age from 30 to 39; 3- age from 40 to 49; 4- age from 50 to 59; 5- age 60 to 69), we found that the majority – 41.5% were in the age group from 30 to 39; younger and two older groups were in between 13-18% of the sample, whilst we only had one respondent, older than 60 years. Five respondents did not answer the question about age.

Sex of the respondent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	Female	47	72.3	72.3	72.3
	Male	18	27.7	27.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Age of the respondents recoded into groups

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	9	13.8	13.8	13.8
	2	27	41.5	41.5	55.4
	3	12	18.5	18.5	73.8
	4	11	16.9	16.9	90.8
	5	1	1.5	1.5	92.3
	99	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

All of the respondents had higher education; 7 had college degree, vast majority (72,3% had university degree; 7 had bachelor degree and one respondent doctoral degree. Three respondents refused to answer the question about education.

Level of education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	College degree	7	10.8	11.3	11.3
	University degree	47	72.3	75.8	87.1
	Bachelor degree	7	10.8	11.3	98.4
	Doctoral degree	1	1.5	1.6	100.0
	Total	62	95.4	100.0	
Missing	99	3	4.6		
	Total	65	100.0		

Almost all of the respondents were from primary and high schools; 6 were involved with project work – some at youth organizations, some as teaching assistants at school.

Area of work of respondent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Education, teaching	8	12.3	12.3	12.3
	Social work	32	49.2	49.2	61.5
	Manager (school)	12	18.5	18.5	80.0

YouPrev – Institutional and expert Survey – Slovenian Data Analysis

Psychologist	5	7.7	7.7	87.7
Project work	6	9.2	9.2	96.9
Assesment	1	1.5	1.5	98.5
Other	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is participant currently working

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	65	100.0	100.0	100.0

Function at work of the respondent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Teacher	12	18.5	18.5	18.5
Social worker	26	40.0	40.0	58.5
Headmaster	12	18.5	18.5	76.9
Project leader	13	20.0	20.0	96.9
99	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Type of the institution

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Correctional facility	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
University	1	1.5	1.5	3.1
Education	63	96.9	96.9	100.0
Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2. Experts' experiences with and views on youth crime prevention and control

2.1. Target groups of activities in the field of prevention and control of youth deviance, violence, and crime

- Content, wording and structure of question is the same as in English; »Kdo so ciljne skupine trenutnih aktivnosti na področju preventive in omejevanja mladostniškega nasilja in kriminalitete? S ciljnimi skupinami mislimo tiste skupine, za katere so ti preventivni in omejevalni ukrepi narejeni.«
- Table with means, standard deviations of ratings for target groups:

		Statistics			
		Are the girls up to age 13 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the boys up to age 13 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the female adolescents between age 14 and 17 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the male adolescents between age 14 and 17 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.23	3.32	2.98	3.25
Std. Deviation		1.196	1.017	.838	.952
Variance		1.430	1.035	.703	.907
Minimum		1	2	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	5

		Statistics			
		Are the young females (18-24 years) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the young males (18-24 years) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the first time offenders target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the repeat offenders target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.98	2.94	2.97	2.62
Std. Deviation		.976	1.014	.968	.860
Variance		.953	1.027	.937	.740

YouPrev – Institutional and expert Survey – Slovenian Data Analysis

Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	5	5	5	5

Statistics

	Are the youth with mental health problems target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the youth with substance abuse problems target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the ethnic minority youth target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the homeless youth target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?
N Valid	65	65	65	65
Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean	3.17	2.83	2.74	2.52
Std. Deviation	.961	.894	.644	.752
Variance	.924	.799	.415	.566
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	5	5	4	4

Statistics

	Are the youth in care homes / foster care target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the youth from disadvantaged families/ neighbourhoods target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the victims target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the people/ groups at risk of becoming victims target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?
N Valid	65	65	65	65
Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean	2.49	3.02	3.12	3.18
Std. Deviation	.664	.780	.839	.864
Variance	.441	.609	.703	.747
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	4	5	5	5

Statistics

		Are the formal agents of social control (police, criminal courts etc.) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the semiformal/ professional agents of social control target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?	Are the informal agents of social control (family, neighbours, peers, etc.) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?
N	Valid	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		2.78	3.02	3.17
Std. Deviation		.960	1.068	1.069
Variance		.922	1.140	1.143
Minimum		1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	4

- No “other group” was mentioned.
- Short text summarizing main national findings regarding target groups:

When looking at the descriptive statistics, we can see that the highest mean score (3.32) of first 20 questions has the item : »Are the boys up to age 13 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...» (coloured in red). This means that respondents felt that this group is targeted by current activities more than any other group; in contrast, the lowest mean was “Are the youth in care homes / foster care target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...”, with the mean of 2.49. On one hand we can say that the difference between the highest and lowest mean is not that big and that the respondents kept close to the middle of the scale, but when we observe the variance and standard deviation scores we can see that the opinions differed by more than one category in some questions.

A low standard deviation indicates that the data points tend to be very close to the mean, whereas high standard deviation indicates that the data points are spread out over a large range of values. Lowest standard deviation (and variance) was seen at the question: »Are the ethnic minority youth target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...«, which combined with low mean score and absence of the highest score by respondents leads to the conclusion that respondents felt that ethnic minority youth is not a target group of current activities (and in similar manner, also homeless youth and youth in foster care). However we must point out that the respondents came from two cities that are not that burdened with the

question of minority groups, therefore also the ethnic minority youth are not target groups of activities. Also, almost half of the respondents were social workers at schools, which could lead to lower means for these questions, since they are familiar with this problems and are aware of them more than school principals or policemen for instance.

Highest standard deviation and variance was observed at first question, which could be interpreted that although mean score was relatively high, showing that respondents felt girls up to age 13 are target groups of current activities, they differed greatly in their answers.

Are the girls up to age 13 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	23	35.4	35.4	38.5
	3	9	13.8	13.8	52.3
	4	20	30.8	30.8	83.1
	5- Very much	11	16.9	16.9	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the boys up to age 13 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	18	27.7	27.7	27.7
	3	16	24.6	24.6	52.3
	4	23	35.4	35.4	87.7
	5- Very much	8	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the female adolescents between age 14 and 17 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	19	29.2	29.2	30.8
	3	26	40.0	40.0	70.8

YouPrev – Institutional and expert Survey – Slovenian Data Analysis

4	18	27.7	27.7	98.5
5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the male adolescents between age 14 and 17 target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	14	21.5	21.5	23.1
	3	24	36.9	36.9	60.0
	4	20	30.8	30.8	90.8
	5- Very much	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the young females (18-24 years) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	14	21.5	21.5	29.2
	3	25	38.5	38.5	67.7
	4	19	29.2	29.2	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the young males (18-24 years) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	16	24.6	24.6	32.3
	3	26	40.0	40.0	72.3
	4	14	21.5	21.5	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the first time offenders target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	16	24.6	24.6	30.8
	3	26	40.0	40.0	70.8
	4	16	24.6	24.6	95.4
	5- Very much	3	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the repeat offenders target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	25	38.5	38.5	46.2
	3	26	40.0	40.0	86.2
	4	8	12.3	12.3	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the youth with mental health problems target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	6	9.2	9.2	16.9
	3	31	47.7	47.7	64.6
	4	19	29.2	29.2	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the youth with substance abuse problems target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	17	26.2	26.2	32.3
	3	33	50.8	50.8	83.1
	4	8	12.3	12.3	95.4
	5- Very much	3	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the ethnic minority youth target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	18	27.7	27.7	30.8
	3	40	61.5	61.5	92.3
	4	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the homeless youth target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	26	40.0	40.0	47.7
	3	29	44.6	44.6	92.3
	4	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the youth in care homes / foster care target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	33	50.8	50.8	53.8
	3	26	40.0	40.0	93.8
	4	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the youth from disadvantaged families/ neighbourhoods target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	11	16.9	16.9	20.0
	3	38	58.5	58.5	78.5
	4	12	18.5	18.5	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the victims target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	7	10.8	10.8	15.4
	3	37	56.9	56.9	72.3
	4	15	23.1	23.1	95.4
	5- Very much	3	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the people/ groups at risk of becoming victims target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	5	7.7	7.7	13.8
	3	33	50.8	50.8	64.6
	4	21	32.3	32.3	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the witnesses target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	2	16	24.6	24.6	35.4
	3	27	41.5	41.5	76.9
	4	14	21.5	21.5	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the formal agents of social control (police, criminal courts etc.) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	2	10	15.4	15.4	26.2
	3	28	43.1	43.1	69.2
	4	15	23.1	23.1	92.3
	5- Very much	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the semiformal/ professional agents of social control target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	2	5	7.7	7.7	18.5
	3	29	44.6	44.6	63.1
	4	18	27.7	27.7	90.8
	5- Very much	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are the informal agents of social control (family, neighbours, peers, etc.) target groups of current activities in the field of prevention...?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	15	23.1	23.1	30.8
	3	39	60.0	60.0	90.8
	4	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2.2. Types of youth problem behaviour targeted by current activities

- Content, wording and structure of question stayed exactly the same as in English: **“Katere so glavne kategorije, na katere se osredotočajo aktivnosti na področju preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete mladih? Kriminaliteta mladih je širok pojem, zato se ukrepi lahko osredotočajo na bolj specifične probleme. Prosimo določite, v kolikšni meri se trenutne aktivnosti na področju preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete osredotočajo na našete tipe prestopkov v vaši državi, skupnosti ali regiji.”**

- Table with means, standard deviations of ratings for targeted problems

Statistics

		Is youth violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is youth property offences currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is abuse of illegal substances currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is abuse of alcohol/ legal substances currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.72	2.86	3.52	3.57
Std. Deviation		.839	.808	1.002	1.060
Variance		.703	.652	1.003	1.124
Minimum		2	1	1	1
Maximum		5	4	5	5

Statistics

		Is hate crime/ violence against minorities currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is school-related violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is bullying currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is gender-based violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.98	3.65	3.49	3.20
Std. Deviation		.857	.837	.812	.939
Variance		.734	.701	.660	.881
Minimum		1	2	1	1
Maximum		4	5	5	4

Statistics

		Is knife crime currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is cyber crime currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is sexual offences currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is dating violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.72	3.09	2.89	2.80
Std. Deviation		1.008	.931	.954	.955
Variance		1.016	.866	.910	.913
Minimum		1	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	4

Statistics

		Is school absenteeism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is adherence to violence-legitimizing norms currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is use of violent media currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?	Is political/religious extremism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.80	2.85	2.85	2.52
Std. Deviation		.795	.922	1.019	.868
Variance		.631	.851	1.038	.753
Minimum		1	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	4

- No “other group” was mentioned.

- Short text summarizing main national findings regarding currently targeted problem fields.

We can see similar pattern with this 16 questions: highest score (3.72) has the item : »Is youth violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control» (coloured in red). This was expected, since a lot of programs and additional school activities was done on this subject (note that nobody thought the school violence is not at all targeted); the lowest mean was “Is political/ religious extremism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?”, with the mean of 2.52. Again, the difference between the highest and lowest mean is not that big (respondents kept close to the middle of the scale), also in both questions variance and standard deviation scores show respondents didn’t differ much from each other.

Lowest standard deviation (and variance) was seen at the question: »Is school absenteeism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?«, which combined with low mean score and the majority of respondents coming from schools leads to the conclusion that respondents felt absenteeism is a problem and were quite »on the same page« about this problem..

Highest standard deviation and variance was observed at the fourth question: »Is abuse of alcohol/ legal substances currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?« which could be interpreted that although mean score was relatively high, showing that respondents felt abuse of alcohol/ legal substances is targeted by current activities, they differed greatly in their answers. This difference of opinion could be partially attributed to the fact that majority of primary schools that were contacted for this survey does not have this problem, while almost all high schools reported this to be the biggest issue they have.

Is youth violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	3	22	33.8	33.8	40.0
	4	27	41.5	41.5	81.5
	5- Very much	12	18.5	18.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is youth property offences currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	17	26.2	26.2	30.8
	3	31	47.7	47.7	78.5
	4	14	21.5	21.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is abuse of illegal substances currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	5	7.7	7.7	12.3
	3	22	33.8	33.8	46.2
	4	25	38.5	38.5	84.6
	5- Very much	10	15.4	15.4	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is abuse of alcohol/ legal substances currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	6	9.2	9.2	13.8
	3	20	30.8	30.8	44.6
	4	23	35.4	35.4	80.0
	5- Very much	13	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is hate crime/ violence against minorities currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	12	18.5	18.5	24.6
	3	30	46.2	46.2	70.8
	4	19	29.2	29.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is school-related violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	3	17	26.2	26.2	36.9
	4	33	50.8	50.8	87.7
	5- Very much	8	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is bullying currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	6	9.2	9.2	10.8
	3	22	33.8	33.8	44.6
	4	32	49.2	49.2	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is gender-based violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	11	16.9	16.9	23.1
	3	18	27.7	27.7	50.8
	4	32	49.2	49.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is knife crime currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	10	15.4	15.4	15.4
	2	12	18.5	18.5	33.8
	3	31	47.7	47.7	81.5
	4	10	15.4	15.4	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is cyber crime currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	9	13.8	13.8	20.0
	3	33	50.8	50.8	70.8
	4	15	23.1	23.1	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is sexual offences currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	2	11	16.9	16.9	27.7
	3	30	46.2	46.2	73.8
	4	16	24.6	24.6	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is dating violence currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	8	12.3	12.3	12.3
	2	13	20.0	20.0	32.3
	3	28	43.1	43.1	75.4
	4	16	24.6	24.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is school absenteeism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	12	18.5	18.5	26.2
	3	40	61.5	61.5	87.7
	4	7	10.8	10.8	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is adherence to violence-legitimizing norms currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	2	14	21.5	21.5	30.8
	3	30	46.2	46.2	76.9
	4	14	21.5	21.5	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is use of violent media currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	8	12.3	12.3	12.3
	2	12	18.5	18.5	30.8
	3	30	46.2	46.2	76.9
	4	12	18.5	18.5	95.4
	5- Very much	3	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is political/ religious extremism currently targeted by activities of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	10	15.4	15.4	15.4
	2	17	26.2	26.2	41.5
	3	32	49.2	49.2	90.8
	4	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2.3. Approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, violence and crime

- Content, wording and structure of question remained absolutely the same as in English: “**Kateri so glavni pristopi, ki se jih poslužujete pri preventivi in omejevanju kriminalitete in nasilja mladih?** Metode preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete mladih se lahko med seboj zelo razlikujejo. Prosimo, določite, v kolikšni meri trenutni ukrepi na področju preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete v vaši državi, skupnosti ali regiji sledijo naštetim pristopom.”
- Table with means, standard deviations of ratings for approaches:

Statistics

		Are primary/ universal approaches (directed at anybody) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are secondary/ targeted approaches (directed at at- risk populations) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are tertiary/ indicated approaches (directed at persons who have already become offenders/ victims) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are victim- focussed approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
	Mean	3.49	3.45	3.38	3.40
	Std. Deviation	.954	.811	.947	.880
	Variance	.910	.657	.897	.775
	Minimum	1	2	1	1
	Maximum	5	5	5	5

Statistics

		Are offender-focussed approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are situational approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are measures with a narrow focus on crime taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are measures with a broader focus on individual development taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.43	3.26	2.83	3.42
Std. Deviation		.829	1.020	.911	.900
Variance		.687	1.040	.830	.809
Minimum		2	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	5

Statistics

		Are measures with a focus on social integration taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are measures based on punishment and deterrence taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are measures targeted at reducing risk factors taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are measures targeted at strengthening protective factors taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.42	2.89	3.20	3.37
Std. Deviation		.808	.937	.905	.911
Variance		.653	.879	.819	.830
Minimum		2	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	5

- No “other group” was mentioned.
- Short text summarizing main national findings regarding approaches taken with regard to prevention and control of youth problem behaviour:

With this 12 questions we have the same pattern as before: first one has the highest score (3.49): »Are primary/ universal approaches (directed at anybody) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?» (coloured in red). This result could also be expected due to the general programs for reducing the crime and deviance. Also expected was the lowest mean - question “Are measures with a narrow focus on crime taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?”, with the mean of 2.83. This time the difference between the highest and lowest mean is even smaller, variance and standard deviation scores were approximately the same.

Lowest standard deviation (and variance) was seen at the question: »Are measures with a focus on social integration taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?«. This question did not have low mean, and the standard deviation and variance do not differ significantly from other items..

Highest standard deviation and variance was observed at the sixth question: »Are situational approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?« which means that respondents differed in their answers, but this standard deviation and variance scores were not so significantly higher.

Absence of answers »not at all« shows that participant thought that secondary/ targeted approaches, offender-focused approaches and measures with a focus on social integration had been at least in a small part involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence.

Are primary/ universal approaches (directed at anybody) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	7	10.8	10.8	12.3
	3	27	41.5	41.5	53.8
	4	19	29.2	29.2	83.1
	5- Very much	11	16.9	16.9	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are secondary/ targeted approaches (directed at at-risk populations) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	3	31	47.7	47.7	56.9
	4	21	32.3	32.3	89.2
	5- Very much	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are tertiary/ indicated approaches (directed at persons who have already become offenders/ victims) taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	8	12.3	12.3	15.4
	3	25	38.5	38.5	53.8
	4	23	35.4	35.4	89.2
	5- Very much	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are victim-focussed approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	8	12.3	12.3	13.8
	3	26	40.0	40.0	53.8
	4	24	36.9	36.9	90.8
	5- Very much	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are offender-focussed approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	9	13.8	13.8	13.8
	3	24	36.9	36.9	50.8
	4	27	41.5	41.5	92.3
	5- Very much	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are situational approaches taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	11	16.9	16.9	21.5
	3	24	36.9	36.9	58.5
	4	20	30.8	30.8	89.2
	5- Very much	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures with a narrow focus on crime taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	17	26.2	26.2	33.8
	3	28	43.1	43.1	76.9
	4	14	21.5	21.5	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures with a broader focus on individual development taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	7	10.8	10.8	12.3
	3	29	44.6	44.6	56.9
	4	20	30.8	30.8	87.7
	5- Very much	8	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures with a focus on social integration taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	8	12.3	12.3	12.3
	3	27	41.5	41.5	53.8
	4	25	38.5	38.5	92.3
	5- Very much	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures based on punishment and deterrence taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	16	24.6	24.6	32.3
	3	26	40.0	40.0	72.3
	4	17	26.2	26.2	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures targeted at reducing risk factors taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	11	16.9	16.9	20.0
	3	28	43.1	43.1	63.1
	4	20	30.8	30.8	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are measures targeted at strengthening protective factors taken in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	9	13.8	13.8	15.4
	3	27	41.5	41.5	56.9
	4	21	32.3	32.3	89.2
	5- Very much	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2.4. Institutions and professions involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, violence and crime

- Content, wording and structure of question remained the same: »**Katere institucije in strokovne službe so vključene v preventivo in omejevanje kriminalitete in nasilja mladih?** Različni izvajalci so lahko vključeni v preventivo in omejevanje kriminalitete in nasilja mladih. Prosimo, označite, v kolikšni meri v vaši državi, skupnosti ali regiji se navedene institucije ter strokovne službe aktivno vključujejo v ukrepe za preventivo in omejevanje kriminalitete in nasilja mladih.«

- Table with means, standard deviations of ratings for institutions and professions

Statistics

		Is police involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are courts/ public prosecutors involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are correctional facilities involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are social services involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.51	3.03	3.49	3.42
Std. Deviation		.868	.951	.937	.882
Variance		.754	.905	.879	.778
Minimum		2	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	5

Statistics

		Is health system involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Is educational system involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are social workers involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?	Are psychologists involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.15	3.65	3.69	3.51
Std. Deviation		.852	.909	.934	.812
Variance		.726	.826	.873	.660
Minimum		2	2	1	2
Maximum		5	5	5	5

- No “other group” was mentioned.

- Short text summarizing main national findings regarding institutions / professions involved in prevention and control of youth problem behaviour:

Next block of eight questions showed the signs of respondents being weary of the questions or at least the signs of them gradually becoming more and more grouped around the middle answer “3”. The highest score (3.69) has the question: »Are social workers involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?» (coloured in red), followed by score of 3.65 for the question “Is educational system involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?«. Both were anticipated, since vast majority of respondents came from schools, and among them the biggest group represented social workers. Also expected was the lowest mean - question “Are courts/ public prosecutors involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?”, with the mean of 3.03, which was relatively high considering there were no representatives of the court in the sample. The difference between the highest and lowest mean is again small, variance and standard deviation scores were approximately the same.

Lowest standard deviation (and variance) was seen at the question: »Are courts/ public prosecutors involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?«. Combined with the lowest mean, the answers show that respondents felt almost unanimously that the problem with the juvenile courts should be addressed.

Highest standard deviation and variance was observed at the sixth question: »Are psychologists involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?« which means that respondents differed in their answers, but this standard deviation and variance scores were not so significantly higher from other items.

Absence of answers »not at all« shows that participant thought that the police, health system, educational system and psychologists were at least in a small part involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence.

From such high mean scores we could also conclude that either the respondents felt that everything is almost completely in order or they did not want to exclude any specific group as problematic or not involved in prevention and control.

Is police involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	8	12.3	12.3	12.3
	3	24	36.9	36.9	49.2
	4	25	38.5	38.5	87.7
	5- Very much	8	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are courts/ public prosecutors involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	12	18.5	18.5	26.2
	3	25	38.5	38.5	64.6
	4	22	33.8	33.8	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are correctional facilities involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	8	12.3	12.3	13.8
	3	23	35.4	35.4	49.2
	4	24	36.9	36.9	86.2
	5- Very much	9	13.8	13.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are social services involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	7	10.8	10.8	12.3
	3	28	43.1	43.1	55.4
	4	22	33.8	33.8	89.2
	5- Very much	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is health system involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	17	26.2	26.2	26.2
	3	23	35.4	35.4	61.5
	4	23	35.4	35.4	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is educational system involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	3	24	36.9	36.9	46.2
	4	22	33.8	33.8	80.0
	5- Very much	13	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are social workers involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	5	7.7	7.7	9.2
	3	20	30.8	30.8	40.0
	4	26	40.0	40.0	80.0
	5- Very much	13	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are psychologists involved in prevention and control of youth deviance, crime and violence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	3	24	36.9	36.9	47.7
	4	28	43.1	43.1	90.8
	5- Very much	6	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2.5. Significance of interagency and multi-professional approaches

- Content, wording and structure of question remained the same as in English: “Kolikšno pozornost namenjate v svoji državi, skupnosti ali regiji **sodelovanju med različnimi agencijami/ pristopom sodelovanja strokovnjakov različnih področij** na področju preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete mladih?»

- Table with means, standard deviations of ratings

Statistics			
		Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are regarded as important in tackling youth crime/ violence.	Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are common practice in tackling youth crime/ violence.
N	Valid	65	65
	Missing	0	0
Mean		4.25	3.74
Std. Deviation		.848	.871
Variance		.720	.759
Minimum		2	2
Maximum		5	5

- Short text summarizing main national findings regarding ascribed / perceived significance of interagency cooperation and multi-professionalism:

Statement: »Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are regarded as important in tackling youth crime/ violence« has the highest mean score among all the questions in the questionnaire – 4.25. Variance and standard deviation scores show that respondents were agreeing with the statement, and none of them replied with »not at all«. High score of mean was observed also with the second statement: “Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are common practice in tackling youth crime/ violence”, with the mean of 3.75.

Absence of answers »not at all« shows that participant thought that interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are regarded as important and are common practice at least in a small way.

Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are regarded as important in tackling youth crime/ violence.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	3	11	16.9	16.9	20.0
	4	21	32.3	32.3	52.3
	5- Very much	31	47.7	47.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Interagency cooperation and multi-professional approaches are common practice in tackling youth crime/ violence.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	3	17	26.2	26.2	35.4
	4	30	46.2	46.2	81.5
	5- Very much	12	18.5	18.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

2.6. Situation of financing / funding

- Content, wording and structure of questions (sources / status of funding) remained the same as in English: **Kako bi opisali stanje na področju financiranja področja preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete mladih? (a) Kdo omogoča finančne vire za aktivnosti na področju preventive in omejevanja kriminalitete mladih?**

- Tables with means, standard deviations of ratings

Statistics

		Is government providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?	Is municipality providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?	Are charities providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?	Are non-profit/voluntary organisations providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.54	3.38	3.08	3.14
Std. Deviation		.752	.804	.924	.882
Variance		.565	.647	.853	.777
Minimum		2	1	1	1
Maximum		5	5	5	5

Statistics

		Are commercial enterprises/companies providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?	Are foundations providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?	Is European Union providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?
N	Valid	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		2.15	2.92	3.12
Std. Deviation		.852	.924	.839
Variance		.726	.853	.703
Minimum		1	1	1
Maximum		4	4	5

- Short text summarizing main national findings funding of activities in the field of youth crime prevention and control:

Next block of seven questions was dedicated to the funding of activities. Since we had a vast majority of school employees, it was no surprise that the question: »Is government providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?« had the highest mean score (3.54). If we consider variance and standard deviation scores we see that respondents were answering similarly, and none of them replied with »not at all«. High score of mean was observed also with the second statement: “Is municipality providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?”, with the mean of 3.38, which was also to expect, since the government and municipalities indeed finance majority of projects/ programs, that are introduced in schools.

Contrary, commercial enterprises/ companies and foundations do not provide resources based on the answers given (no respondent answered “very much”, means were low – 2.15 and 2.92).

Is government providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	3	22	33.8	33.8	43.1
	4	33	50.8	50.8	93.8
	5- Very much	4	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is municipality providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	5	7.7	7.7	10.8
	3	26	40.0	40.0	50.8
	4	30	46.2	46.2	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are charities providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	4	6.2	6.2	6.2
	2	11	16.9	16.9	23.1
	3	28	43.1	43.1	66.2
	4	20	30.8	30.8	96.9
	5- Very much	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are non-profit/ voluntary organisations providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	12	18.5	18.5	21.5
	3	29	44.6	44.6	66.2
	4	19	29.2	29.2	95.4
	5- Very much	3	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are commercial enterprises/ companies providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	14	21.5	21.5	21.5
	2	32	49.2	49.2	70.8
	3	14	21.5	21.5	92.3
	4	5	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Are foundations providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	6	9.2	9.2	9.2
	2	12	18.5	18.5	27.7
	3	28	43.1	43.1	70.8
	4	19	29.2	29.2	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Is European Union providing financial resources for activities in the field of prevention and control?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not at all	3	4.6	4.6	4.6
	2	9	13.8	13.8	18.5
	3	31	47.7	47.7	66.2
	4	21	32.3	32.3	98.5
	5- Very much	1	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Status of funding: none of the respondents, across all three questions reported that the finances are very stable, very predictable and very sufficient. Overall, mean scores are low, the lowest being the statement about predictability of funding (2.45). Variance and standard deviation scores are almost the same.

Statistics

		Current status of funding is...	Curent status of funding is...	Current status of funding is...
N	Valid	65	65	65
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		2.69	2.45	2.95
Std. Deviation		.900	.884	.874
Variance		.810	.782	.763
Minimum		1	1	1
Maximum		4	4	4

Current status of funding is...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Very unstable	7	10.8	10.8	10.8
	2	18	27.7	27.7	38.5
	3	28	43.1	43.1	81.5
	4	12	18.5	18.5	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Curent status of funding is...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Very unpredictable	10	15.4	15.4	15.4
	2	23	35.4	35.4	50.8
	3	25	38.5	38.5	89.2
	4	7	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	

Current status of funding is...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1- Not sufficient at all	5	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2	11	16.9	16.9	24.6
	3	31	47.7	47.7	72.3
	4	18	27.7	27.7	100.0
	Total	65	100.0	100.0	